



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

**I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION**

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 9/24/2020

ORM Number: POA-2015-00032 (Turnpike)

Associated JDs: POA-2015-00032 (re-tread)

Review Area Location<sup>1</sup>: State/Territory: AK City: Katchemak Bay State Park

County/Parish/Borough: Kenai Peninsula

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 59.607 Longitude -151.155

**II. FINDINGS**

**A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- ☒ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: The three parameters of a wetland are not present. See Section III C below.
- ☐ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- ☐ There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- ☐ There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

**B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)<sup>2</sup>**

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

**C. Clean Water Act Section 404**

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): <sup>3</sup>				
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):				
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):				
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

<sup>1</sup> Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):				
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

**D. Excluded Waters or Features**

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): <sup>4</sup>				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

**III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

**A. Select/enter all resources** that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

- ☒ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: [Vicinity Map, September 11, 2020](#)  
This information [is](#) sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: [NA](#)

- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\)](#).
- ☒ Photographs: [Other: Applicant supplied; Sept 2019, May 2020, Sept 2020](#)
- ☐ Corps site visit(s) conducted on: [Date\(s\)](#).
- ☐ Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): [ORM Number\(s\) and date\(s\)](#).
- ☐ Antecedent Precipitation Tool: [provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.](#)
- ☐ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\)](#).
- ☐ USFWS NWI maps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\)](#).
- ☐ USGS topographic maps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\)](#).

**Other data sources used to aid in this determination:**

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
<a href="#">USGS Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>
<a href="#">USDA Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>
<a href="#">NOAA Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>
<a href="#">USACE Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>
<a href="#">State/Local/Tribal Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>
<a href="#">Other Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>

**B. Typical year assessment(s):** [NA](#)

**C. Additional comments to support AJD:** [The review area for this AJD is the log causeway and the area immediately surrounding it. The subject site was disturbed in 2018 for trail construction. No permit was required for the 2018 construction. The applicant stated that during spring break-up and following rain events, water flows through the area but has not established a channel. The photos indicate the existing log causeway was built across a swale in the landscape. The causeway has since acted as an impediment to drainage causing artificially wet conditions. The applicant proposes to install a turnpike to restore the](#)

<sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

natural drainage flow. Outside of the disturbed area, the vegetation within the swale is comprised of White and/or Lutz spruce (*Picea glauca*/*P. x lutzii*, both FACU); Fireweed (*Chamaenerion angustifolium*, FACU); Devil's Club (*Oplopanax horridus*, FACU); Northern oak fern (*Gymnocarpium dryopteris*, FACU) and Bluejoint Reed Grass (*Calamagrostis canadensis*, FAC). This FAC to FACW vegetative community indicates the site is not saturated frequently enough for wetland conditions to develop. Within the disturbed area, Great Burnet (*Sanguisorba officinalis*, FACW) has become established. This species does not appear present in the May or September 2019 photos of the causeway. It is likely that this species became established following construction of the causeway and the resultant artificial increase in soil saturation. It is not indicative of the status of the site. There are no indications of wetlands present either upslope or downslope of the subject site. As such, the underlying soils within the subject area are presumed to be non-hydric. The subject site does not meet all three parameters of a wetland and is not a water of the U.S.