APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 25, 2022

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Alaska District, POA-2021-00443

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Borough: Matanuska Susitna State: Alaska City: Wasilla Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 61.5563 ° N., Long. 149.4457 °W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Knik Arm Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: N/A Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 190204010808 (Knik Arm-Frontal Cook Inlet) ⊠Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. □ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): □Office (Desk) Determination. Date: ⊠Field Determination. Date(s): July 22, 2022 SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required] ☐ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. ☐ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required] 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):1 ☐TNWs, including territorial seas ☐Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs ☐ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs ☐ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs ☐ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters □ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months.

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters:

Wetlands:

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on:

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

⊠ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

Explain: The subject property contains approximately 3.32 acres (as determined using aerial imagery on GoogleEarth) of wetlands. The wetlands on the property contain water that flows through a series of ditches and culverts into other large nearby wetlands. Potential connection was traced through a north-south culvert under Edlund Road, where it was estimated that water would then flow toward South Lavender Lane, then flow south in the roadside ditch. However, the next culvert under West Sheridan Circle is at a slightly higher elevation, which would prevent farther water flow. No other potential connection could be found. See Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: n/a

Summarize rationale supporting determination: n/a

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": n/a

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III F.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size:		
Drainage area:		
Average annual rainfall: Average annual snowfall: (ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: □ Tributary flows directly into TNW. □ Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW.		
Project waters are river miles from TNW. Project waters are river miles from RPW. Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:		
Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : Tributary stream order, if known:		
(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: □ Natural □ Artificial (man-made). Explain: □ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:		
Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: Average depth: Average side slopes:		
Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:		
Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):		
(c) Flow: Tributary provides for: Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume: Surface flow is: Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Explain findings:		

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	\Box Dye (or other) test performed:	
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent cleaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determin apply):	□ destruction of terrestrial vegetation □ the presence of wrack line □ sediment sorting □ scour □ multiple observed or predicted flow events □ abrupt change in plant community e lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that
	☐ High Tide Line indicated by:	☐ Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
	□oil or scum line along shore objects	□survey to available datum;
	☐ fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)	□physical markings;
	□physical markings/characteristics	□ vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
	□tidal gauges	
	□other (list):	
Characte character Identify s (iv) Biologic Ripar Wetla Habit	al Characteristics: rize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily sistics, etc.). Explain: specific pollutants, if known: cal Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that rian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): and fringe. Characteristics: cat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain finding Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	gs:
(i) Physical (a) Gene Prope Wo Wo Proje (b) Gene	Characteristics: real Wetland Characteristics: retland size: retland type. Explain: retland quality. Explain: ct wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: real Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: is: Choose an item. Explain:	try or indirectly into 1 NW

⁷ Ibid.

2.

⁶ A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily server jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

	Surface flow is: Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Explain findings:
	□Dye (or other) test performed:
	(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
	☐Directly abutting
	□Not directly abutting
	☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
	☐ Ecological connection. Explain:
	☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
	(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
	Project wetlands are river miles from TNW.
	Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
	Flow is from: Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the floodplain.
	Estimate approximate rocation of wettand as within the
	(ii) Chemical Characteristics:
	Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed
	characteristics; etc.). Explain:
	Identify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
	☐Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
	☐ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
	☐ Habitat for:
	☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
	□Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
	☐Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
	☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
	All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis:
	Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.
	For each wetland, specify the following:
	Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)
	Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

	TNWs:
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs:
2. RPW	s that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
[j	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are irrisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary lows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
	☐Tributary waters:
	☐Other non-wetland waters:
	Identify type(s) of waters:
3. Non-	RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
□Wa	terbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with
a TNV	V is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
Provid	le estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
□Tril	outary waters:
□Oth	er non-wetland waters:
Id	entify type(s) of waters:
4. Wetl	ands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

POA-2021-00443

	\square Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
	☐Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale
	indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	☐Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:
5. V	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	□Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:.
6. V	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	□Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:
7. I	impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁹
	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
	□Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
	□Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
	□Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DEGR	PLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, ADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING UCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10
	h are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
□from	which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
□whic	h are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
□Inter	state isolated waters. Explain:
Othe	r factors. Explain:
dentify	y water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	e estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
	ntary waters:
	r non-wetland waters: Identify type(s) of waters:
□Wet	
□If pot	N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): tential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers
_	d Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.

To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

⊠Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
□ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
□Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
Other: (explain, if not covered above):
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): Lakes/ponds:
Other non-wetland waters: List type of aquatic resource:
□Wetlands:
- Totalias
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):
□Lakes/ponds:
Other non-wetland waters: List type of aquatic resource:
□Wetlands:
SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and,
where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
☐ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
□ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
☑Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Site visit conducted on June 17, 2022
□Corps navigable waters' study:
☐U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
☐USGS NHD data.
☐USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
☐ Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters
☐U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
⊠USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WebSoilSurvey – Matanuska-Susitna Valley Area,
Alaska
⊠National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Online mapper
⊠State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Cook Inlet Wetlands
□FEMA/FIRM maps:
□rema/rikini maps:
☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
□100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
□100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) ⊠Photographs: ⊠Aerial (Name & Date): Multiple
□ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) ⊠Photographs: ⊠Aerial (Name & Date): Multiple or ⊠Other (Name & Date): Field photos from May, June, and July 2022
□ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) □ Photographs: □ Aerial (Name & Date): Multiple or □ Other (Name & Date): Field photos from May, June, and July 2022 □ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: A preliminary JD was initially completed for the subject wetlands, the supporting documentation of which outlines where the Corps believed water would flow. This documentation is available from the Corps upon request. The PJD was based on a combination of field information and desktop resources. An additional site visit was requested by the landowner, which resulted in the determination that the connection found using desktop resources did

not exist in the field. Staff were able to determine connection from the subject wetlands to a culvert that crosses Edlund Road, north-to-south. It was estimated using desktop resources, that flow from the culvert would turn west toward South Lavender Lane, then run south along the roadside ditch, through two culverts until it met with a flowing tributary that connects with wetlands abutting Cottonwood Creek. However, during a July 22, 2022, site visit it was determined that flow would not reach the first of the aforementioned culverts. This culvert, which crosses West Sheridan Circle at the intersection with S. Lavender Ln., is situated north-south. However, it is situated at a slightly higher elevation than the S. Lavender Ln. roadside ditch. Water flowing in the ditch would not be able to flow into and through the culvert due to this slight incline. No other potential connections were found.

Roberta K. Budnik Project Manager

South Section

Roberta K. Budnik

Date

7/28/2022