## DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM<sup>1</sup> **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): March 15, 2022
- B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: POA-2022-00109, Pomeroy Lodging (Alyeska Resort) Housing Development, Moose Meadows, Girdwood, AK

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORM	ΔΊΙΟΝ•	٠

C.	INO	SECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INTORNATION.
		e: Alaska County/parish/borough: Municipality of Anchorage City: Girdwood er coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 60.971 °, Long149.104 ° Universal Transverse Mercator: 6V
	Nam	e of nearest waterbody: Moose Meadows
	Nam	e of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 1902030207
	<b>V</b>	Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.
		Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REV	TIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
	<b>V</b>	Office (Desk) Determination. Date: March 15, 2022
		Field Determination. Date(s):
SEC	CTIO	N II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

## RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

IN MINISPECIAL IN PERENCE AND ACTION
There <b>are no</b> "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
SECTION III: DATA SOURCES.  A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  ✓ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:  ☐ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  ☑ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: National Regulatory Viewer  ☐ USGS NHD data.
□ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. □ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: No available information for review within the area in question.  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: National Regulatory Viewer
State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
FEMA/FIRM maps:
100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
Photographs:  Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Pro
or 🗹 Other (Name & Date): elevation.alaska.gov, Division of Geological and Geophysical
Surveys
Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
Applicable/supporting case law:
Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
Other information (please specify):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE

REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: Review of the area in question shows that elevation and change in contours measured at a 2ft, interval do not display the traditional signs indicative of wetlands areas. There are no depressional features for water to pool, in addition aerial imagery as recent as 2006 shows that the area in question has been disturbed due to surrounding development of the area. NWI displays a freshwater forested/shrub wetland (PSS1/EM1B), 8.11-acres in size according to imagery from 1978 in the vicinity of the area under review. However, LiDAR imagery and contours from 2015 support a change in NWI information, as the area under review underwent significant modification and development in the late 1990s early 2000s according to aerial imagery. Based off the information reviewed, the Corps has concluded that there is no probability for wetlands to be present with in the review area as all three parameters used to classify a wetland (Hydrology, Hydrophytic Vegetation, and Hydric Soils) are not satisfied.

P. Allen Atkins Regulatory Specialist NORTH Section March 24, 2022

Date



