DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM¹ U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): May 17, 2023
- B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Alaska District, NANA construction Office building, Chukchi Sea, POA-2023-00230

C	DDO IFCT I	OCATION	AND DACKCDO	DUND INFORMATION:
('	PROTECTI	CHAILON	AND KALKL-RU	DUNDINHORMATION

	Cente Name	e: Alaska County/parish/borough: Northwest Arctic City: Kivalina er coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 67.72698°, Long164.54009° e of nearest waterbody: Chukchi Sea e of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 190504041308		
		Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.		
REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):				
	~	Office (Desk) Determination. Date: May 3, 2023		
		Field Determination. Date(s): Click here to enter a date.		

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

The

SE

D.

ere ar	e no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
CTIO	N III: DATA SOURCES.
	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and ested, appropriately reference sources below):
~	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
	Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Click here to enter text.
~	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: National Regulatory Viewer
	USGS NHD data.
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
~	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Online Map Viewer
~	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: No available information to review for the area in question.
~	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Click here to enter text.
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Click here to enter text.
	FEMA/FIRM maps: Click here to enter text.
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: Click here to enter text. (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Click here to enter text.
	or Other (Name & Date): Click here to enter text.
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Click here to enter text.
	Applicable/supporting case law: Click here to enter text.
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Click here to enter text.
7	Other information (please specify): POA Mapper, Google Earth Historical images; 2007: Section 117 Expedited Erosion Control Project - Kivalina, Alaska -EA & FONSI (USACE)

¹ This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.

area does not appear to contain waters of the U.S., including w	etlands, under the Corps of Engineers jurisdiction.
S. Donall	05/23/2023
Sean O'Donnell Regulatory Specialist	Date

North Section

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: Upon review of the submitted project plans and resources cited above it appears that the area seems to be primarily beachgrass (L.mollis), a facultative plant, with good drainage and sandy soils. A review of historical imagery failed to show any visible ponding, even in the presence of high precipitation. The village is also raised up from the surrounding beach. As such, the project area does not meet the three wetland criteria of vegetation, soils and hydrology. Based on the available information, the subject review

