## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

### SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): September 16, 2016

### B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Alaska District, POA-2016-460

# C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Alaska Borough: Municipality of Anchorage City: Anchorage Center coordinates of site: Lat. 61.2241 ° N., Long. 149.8777 °W. Universal Transverse Mercator: 6V Name of nearest waterbody: Ship Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Ship Creek Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 190204010404 Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION: ⊠Office (Desk) Determination. 9/21/16 Date: ⊠Field Determination. Date: 9/15/16 SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

#### A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are not "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or
foreign commerce.

## B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

### 1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ☐TNWs, including territorial seas ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs ⊠Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs ☐ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs ☐ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

☐ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

☐ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

☐ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

#### Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: b.

Non-wetland waters: 1.6 acres.

Wetlands: N/A

Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: shoreline of cooling pond c.

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): N/A

#### 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):

□Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

#### 1. TNW

Identify TNW: Knik Arm

Summarize rationale supporting determination: concurrence with POA-2009-940; cooling pond is a perennial RPW connecting to Lower Ship Creek via a gate in the southwest corner. Ship Creek is a perennial RPW that flows into Knik Arm, a TNW.

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": N/A

# B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

#### (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size:

Drainage area:

Average annual rainfall: # inches Average annual snowfall: # inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.				
☐ Tributary flows through CHOOSE: Enter # or 10 or m	ore tributaries before entering TNW.			
- Commission of the Commission	omprese - som var men i britari de et superior de especialment de la respectation de la compresión de la co			
Project waters are CHOOSE: Enter # or 30 or more rive	er miles from TNW.			
Project waters are CHOOSE: Enter # or 30 or more river miles from RPW.				
Project waters are CHOOSE: Enter # or 30 or more aeri				
Project waters are CHOOSE; Enter # or 30 or more aeri				
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain				
Identify flow route to TNW:				
Tributary stream order, if known:				
(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):	-			
Tributary is:   Natural				
☐Artificial (man-made). Explain:	M #8			
☐Manipulated (man-altered). Explain				
Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estim	ate):			
Average width: # feet	* **			
Average depth: # feet				
Average side slopes: Choose an item.				
<i>i</i>				
Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that	apply):			
□Silts □Sands □Concrete				
□Cobbles □Gravel □Muck				
□Bedrock □Vegetation. Type/% cover:				
□Other. Explain:				
Coulor. Explain.				
Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloug	phing banks] Explain:			
Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:	oming outlier. Explain.			
Tributary geometry: CHOOSE: Relatively Straight/Me	andering and			
Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): #%				
s at s and the s	The second second			
(c) <u>Flow:</u>	48 m			
Tributary provides for: CHOOSE: Seasonal Flow/Intern	mittent but not Seasonal Flow/Ephemeral Flow			
Estimate average number of flow events in review area.				
Describe flow regime:				
Other information on duration and volume:				
Surface flow is: CHOOSE: Discrete/Confined/Discrete	and Confined/Overland Sheetflow			
Characteristics:				
Subsurface flow: CHOOSE: Yes/No/Unknown Explai	n findings:			
□Dye (or other) test performed:				
Tributary has (check all that apply):				
☐Bed and banks				
□OHWM (check all indicators that apply):				
□clear, natural line impressed on the bank	☐the presence of litter and debris			
□ changes in the character of soil	☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation			
□shelving	☐ the presence of wrack line			
□vegetation matted down, bent, or absent	□ sediment sorting			
	and the second of the second o			
□leaf litter disturbed or washed away				
sediment deposition	multiple observed or predicted flow events			
□water staining	☐ abrupt change in plant community			

	Liother (fist):	
	□Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:	
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all tapply):	hat
	☐ High Tide Line indicated by: ☐ Mean High Water Mark indicated by:	
	□oil or scum line along shore objects □survey to available datum;	
	☐ fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) ☐ physical markings;	
	□physical markings/characteristics □vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types	
	□tidal gauges	
	□other (list):	
	iii) Chemical Characteristics:	
10	Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed	
	characteristics, etc.). Explain:	
	Identify specific pollutants, if known:	
(	iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):	
	☐Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):	
	☐ Wetland fringe. Characteristics:	
	□ Habitat for:	
	□ Federally Listed species. Explain findings:	
	□Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:	
	☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:	
	☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	
	Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW	
(	i) Physical Characteristics:	
	(a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: 7	
e e	Wetland size: # acres	
	Wetland type. Explain:	
	Wetland quality. Explain:	
	Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:	
	(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:	
	Flow is: Choose an item. Explain:	
	Surface flow is: CHOOSE: Discrete/Confined/Discrete and Confined/Overland Sheetflow	
	Characteristics:	
	Subsurface flow: CHOOSE: Yes/No/Unknown Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:	
	Ebyo (or other) tool performed,	
	(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:	
	□Directly abutting	
	□Not directly abutting	
	☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:	
	□ Ecological connection. Explain:	
	☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:	
	(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW	
	Project wetlands are CHOOSE: Enter # or 30 or more river miles from TNW.	
	Project waters are CHOOSE: Enter # or 30 or more aerial (straight) miles from TNW.	
	Flow is from: CHOOSE: Wetland to Navigable Water/Navigable Water to Wetland/Wetland to/from Navigable Water/Navigable Plant	ole
	Water/No Flow Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the CHOOSE; Enter # or 500-year or greater. floodplain.	

2.

Y/N	#	Y/N	#
Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
For each wetland, specif	y the following:		
All wetland(s) being cor	sidered in the cumulative	e analysis: CHOOSE: Enter # or 3	0 or more
□ Aquauc/wiidii	ie diversity. Explain line	iings;	19 3%
		1991 - 15 400 • 1640 July 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
Best W	yafi 5/2 // / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	_ 11 _ 11	
AND THE PARTY OF T	The second secon	ngs:	
☐ Habitat for:			
☐Vegetation type/perc	ent cover. Explain:		
☐ Riparian buffer. Cha	aracteristics (type, averag	e width):	
(iii) Biological Character	ristics. Wetland support	ts (check all that apply):	
Identify specific pollut	ants, if known:		
Characterize wetland s	ystem (e.g., water color is	s clear, brown, oil film on surface	; water quality; general water:
	characteristics; etc.). Edentify specific pollute  (iii) Biological Character  Riparian buffer. Cha  Vegetation type/pero  Habitat for:  Federally Liste  Fish/spawn are  Other environs  Aquatic/wildli  Characteristics of all wet  All wetland(s) being cor  Approximately (#) acres	characteristics; etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known:  (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland support Properties of the Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, averagous Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:    Habitat for:   Federally Listed species. Explain findings:   Other environmentally-sensitive species.   Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:   Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:   Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:   For each wetland, specify the following:   Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)	Identify specific pollutants, if known:  (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  □Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): □Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: □Habitat for: □Federally Listed species. Explain findings: □Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: □Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: □Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: □Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: CHOOSE: Enter # or 3 Approximately (#) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.  For each wetland, specify the following:  Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

(ii) Chamical Characteristics

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support
  functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present
  in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1	<ul> <li>TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:</li> <li>□TNWs: # linear feet # width (ft), Or, # acres.</li> </ul>
	☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: # acres.
2	. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
4	☑ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: concurrence with POA-2009-940; cooling pond is a perennial RPW connecting to Lower Ship Creek via a gate in the southwest corner. Ship Creek is a perennial RPW that flows into Knik Arm, a TNW. The cooling pond is a permanent water feature. □ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are
	jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: # linear feet # width (ft).
	☐ Other non-wetland waters: # acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters:
3	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
	☐ Tributary waters: # linear feet # width (ft).
	□Other non-wetland waters: # acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters:
4	. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	☐ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
	☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating
	that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.
5	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres. 6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. □ Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres. 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or ☑Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below). E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. ☐ from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. ☐ Interstate isolated waters. Explain: □Other factors. Explain: Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): ☐ Tributary waters: # linear feet # width (ft). □Other non-wetland waters: # acres. Identify type(s) of waters: ☐ Wetlands: # acres. ·经验 F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): □ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. □Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: □Other: (explain, if not covered above): Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): □Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): # linear feet # width (ft). □ Lakes/ponds: # acres. Other non-wetland waters: # acres. List type of aquatic resource: ☐ Wetlands: # acres. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): □Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): # linear feet # width (ft). □ Lakes/ponds: # acres.

Other non-wetland waters: # acres. List type of aquatic resource:

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

 $\square$  Applicable/supporting scientific literature: □Other information (please specify):

47.

Julie Ruth
Regulatory Specialist
SOUTH Section