APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): October 19, 2016

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Alaska District, POA-2010-556

Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on:

C. PROJECT LO	OCATION AND BA	ACKGROUND INFOR	RMATION:		
State: Alaska	Borough	: Kodiak Island		City: Native Village of Akhiok	
Center coordinates	s of site (lat/long in c	legree decimal format):	Lat. 56.94953	° N., Long. 154.16794 °W.	
	rse Mercator: Zone 5				
	aterbody: Alitak Ba				
				esource flows: Pacific Ocean	
Name of watershe	d or Hydrologic Unit	t Code (HUC); Alitak B	ay-Frontal Paci	fic Ocean 1902070110	
⊠Check if map/di	agram of review are	a and/or potential jurisd	ictional areas is	are available upon request.	
☐Check if other s	ites (e.g., offsite mit	igation sites, disposal sit	tes, etc) are a	ssociated with this action and are recorded on a	
different JD form					
D. DEVIEW BE	DEODMED FOR S	ITE EVALUATION .			
D. REVIEW PE	REORNED FOR S	ITE EVALUATION :			
☐Office (Desk) D	etermination.	Date:			
	ntion.	Date(s): October 14,	2016		
SECTION II: SI	MMARY OF FINI	DINGS			
		ATION OF JURISDIC	CTION.		
There are no "nav	igable waters of the	U.S." within Rivers and	Harbors Act (R	RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329)	j
in the review area.	[Required]				
□ Wate	ers subject to the ebb	and flow of the tide.			
☐ Wate	ers are presently used	d, or have been used in t	he past, or may	be susceptible for use to transport interstate or	
forei	gn commerce. Expli	ain:			
B. CWA SECTION	ON 404 DETERMI	NATION OF JURISDI	ICTION.		
There are no "wate	ers of the U.S." with	in Clean Water Act (CV	VA) jurisdiction	(as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review	
area. [Required]					
	ers of the U.S.				
100,000 (100,000)	and the second of the second o	aters of U.S. in review	area:		
	s, including territoria				
	nds adjacent to TNW				
		ers (RPWs) that flow dir	The second secon	tly into TNWs	
□Non-F	RPWs that flow direct	tly or indirectly into TN	Ws.		
□Wetla	nds directly abutting	RPWs that flow directly	y or indirectly in	nto TNWs	
□Wetla	nds adjacent to but n	ot directly abutting RPV	Ws that flow dire	ectly or indirectly into TNWs	
□Wetla	nds adjacent to non-l	RPWs that flow directly	or indirectly in	ito TNWs	
□Impou	indments of jurisdict	ional waters			
□Isolate	ed (interstate or intra	state) waters, including	isolated wetland	ds	
b.	Identify (estimate)	size of waters of the U	J.S. in the revie	ew area:	
		s: linear feet: width (ft		The state of the s	
	Wetlands: acres.	A CONTRACTOR OF STREET VOL	* Transaction 200		

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):

☑Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size:

Drainage area:

Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

☐Tributary flows through tributaries before entering T	NW.
Project waters are river miles from TNW.	
Project waters are river miles from RPW.	
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.	
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from RPW.	
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Expl	ain:
Identify flow route to TNW:	
Tributary stream order, if known:	
(b) General Tributary Characteristics:	
Tributary is: Natural	
☐ Artificial (man-made). Explain:	
☐Manipulated (man-altered). Explai	n:
Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (esti-	mate):
Average width: feet	
Average depth: feet	
Average side slopes: Choose an item.	
Primary tributary substrate composition:	
□Silts □Sands □Concrete	
□Cobbles □Gravel □Muck	
☐ Bedrock ☐ Vegetation. Type/% cover;	
☐Other. Explain:	
Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, slot Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %	ighing banks]. Explain:
(c) Flow:	
Tributary provides for:	
Estimate average number of flow events in review are Describe flow regime:	a/year:
Other information on duration and volume:	
Surface flow is:	
Characteristics:	
Subsurface flow: Explain findings:	
☐ Dye (or other) test performed:	
Tributary has:	
☐ Bed and banks	
□OHWM:	
□clear, natural line impressed on the bank	☐the presence of litter and debris
□ changes in the character of soil	destruction of terrestrial vegetation
□shelving	☐the presence of wrack line
□vegetation matted down, bent, or absent	□ sediment sorting
☐ leaf litter disturbed or washed away	□scour
sediment deposition	multiple observed or predicted flow events
□water staining	□ abrupt change in plant community
□other (list):	- The state of the
Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:	

	☐ High Tide Line indicated by:	☐ Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
	□oil or scum line along shore objects	□survey to available datum;
	fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)	□physical markings;
	physical markings/characteristics	□vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
		Evegetation intes/changes in vegetation types.
	□tidal gauges	
	□other (list):	
	(iii) Chemical Characteristics:	
	Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored	, oily film; water quality; general watershed
	characteristics, etc.). Explain:	
	Identify specific pollutants, if known:	
	(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:	
	☐Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
	☐ Wetland fringe. Characteristics:	
	☐ Habitat for:	
	☐Federally Listed species. Explain findings:	
	☐Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:	
	□Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain	findings:
	☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	
2.	Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow	directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i) Physical Characteristics:	directly of maneetly into 1111
	(a) General Wetland Characteristics:	
	Properties:	
	Wetland size: acres	
	Wetland type. Explain:	
	Wetland quality. Explain:	
	Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Exp	olain:
	(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW;	
	Flow is: Choose an item. Explain:	
	Surface flow is:	
	Characteristics:	
	Subsurface flow: Explain findings:	
	☐ Dye (or other) test performed:	
	(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:	
	☐ Directly abutting	
	☐ Not directly abutting	
	Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:	
	□ Ecological connection. Explain:	
	☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:	
	(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW	
	Project wetlands are river miles from TNW.	
	Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.	
	Flow is from:	
	Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the	floodplain.
	(ii) Chemical Characteristics	

Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

	☐Riparian buffer. Cha	aracteristics (type, averag	e width):	
	□Vegetation type/perc	ent cover. Explain:		
	☐ Habitat for:			
	□Federally Liste	ed species. Explain findi	ngs:	
	□Fish/spawn are	eas. Explain findings:		
	□Other environi	nentally-sensitive species	s. Explain findings:	
	☐ Aquatic/wildli	fe diversity. Explain fine	dings:	
3.	Characteristics of all wetl All wetland(s) being con Approximately () acres i	sidered in the cumulative		
	For each wetland, specif	y the following:		
	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or
 indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in
 combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain
findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent
wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:
	☐TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
	☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale
	indicating that tributary is perennial:
	☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are
	jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary
	flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:
	☐ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	Other non-wetland waters: acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters:
5.	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	☐ Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area:
	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	□Other non-wetland waters: acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters:
1.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	☐ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
	☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale
	indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly
	abutting an RPW:
	☐Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating
	that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland
	is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
-	□Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are
	adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data
	supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are
	adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data
	supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.	
As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.	
☐Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or	
☐Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or	
☐ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).	
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:	
□ which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.	
☐ from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.	
□which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.	
□ Interstate isolated waters. Explain;	
□Other factors. Explain:	
Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:	
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:	
☐ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).	
Other non-wetland waters: acres.	
Identify type(s) of waters:	
☐ Wetlands: acres.	
F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS:	
☑If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corp.	s of
Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.	
☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.	
☐Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).	1
☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:	
□Other: (explain, if not covered above):	
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using professional judgment:	
□Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).	
□Lakes/ponds: acres.	
□Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:	
□Wetlands: acres.	
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction:	J
□Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).	
□Lakes/ponds: acres.	
☐Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:	
Wetlands: acres	

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed	for	JD:
-----------------------------------	-----	-----

	 Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Maps and Plans supplied October 14, 2016 □ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. □ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	□Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
	☐ Corps navigable waters' study:
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	□USGS NHD data.
	□USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	□ Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters
	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
	□USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
	☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
	□FEMA/FIRM maps:
	□ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	⊠Photographs: ⊠Aerial (Name & Date): Digital Globe, September 2016
	or ⊠Other (Name & Date): 64 USACE Supplied Photos dated October 14, 2016
	□Applicable/supporting case law:
	☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	Other information (please specify):
e	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: During a site visit conducted by the USACE Engineering Construction on October 14, 2016, 4 representative soil pits were dug and analyzed and photos were taken of each test pit and rounding areas. The soil profiles did not meet the requirements of histosol, histel, or histic epipedon due to lack of the required ganic layer depth or saturated organic layer, and did not meet any other hydric soil criteria.
	October 24, 2016
	annon R Morgan Date
1	ief, South Branch