APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
JD Status: DRAFT	
SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JU	RISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 13-Feb-2013
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Alas	
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFOR	
State : County/parish/borough:	AK - Alaska Skagway-Hoonah-Angoon
City:	Hoonah
Lat:	58.10092
Long:	-135.39722
Universal Transverse Mercator	Folder UTM List UTM list determined by folder location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 8N
	Waters UTM List
	UTM list determined by waters location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 8N
Name of nearest waterbody:	Coho Creek
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):	
Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potent Check if other sites (e.g. offsite mitigation sites dis	cal jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Sposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.
	posai sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different 3D form.
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:	
Office Determination Date:	
Field Determination Date(s): 11-Oct-2011	
	· ·
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICT	TION
There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and H	Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the t	ide.
	used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
Explain:	
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDIO	
There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CW	(A) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area: ¹	
Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
	tting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	<u> </u>
b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the re	view area:
Area: 1497.3 (m²)	
Linear: (m)	
c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:	
based on: 1987 Delineation Manual.	
OHWM Elevation: (if known)	
2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³	
Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were	assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS	N
A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs	
A THUS AND WETEARDS ADDAGENT TO THUS	7
1.TNW	
Not Applicable.	
2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW	
Not Applicable.	
B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT	A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
	
Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or inc	sirectly into TNW
(i) General Area Conditions:	
Watershed size: Drainage area:	

Average annual snowfall: inc					
(ii) Physical Characteristics (a) Relationship with TNW:					
Tributary flows directly into		entering TNW.			
Project waters are river miles Project waters are river miles Project Waters are aerial (stra	from RPW. aight) miles from 1				
Project waters are aerial(stra					
Explain: Identify flow route to TNW: ⁵					
Tributary Stream Order, if known Not Applicable.	own:				
(b) General Tributary Charact	eristics:				
Tributary is: Not Applicable.					
Tributary properties with resp Not Applicable.	pect to top of bar	nk (estimate):			
Primary tributary substrate co	omposition:				
Tributary (conditions, stability Not Applicable.	y, presence, geo	metry, gradient):			
(c) Flow: Not Applicable.					
Surface Flow is: Not Applicable.					
Subsurface Flow: Not Applicable.					
Tributary has: Not Applicable.					
If factors other than the OHW	M were used to	determine lateral	extent of CWA jurisdiction:		
High Tide Line indicated by: Not Applicable.					
Mean High Water Mark indica Not Applicable.	ted by:				
(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., w Not Applicable.	: vater color is clea	ar, discolored, oil	y film; water quality;general wate	ershed characteristics, etc.).	
(iv) Biological Characteristics Not Applicable.	s. Channel suppo	orts:			
2. Characteristics of wetlands	s adjacent to nor	-TNW that flow d	irectly or indirectly into TNW		
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characte Properties:	ristics:				
Wetland Name	Size (Acres)		Wetland Type	Wetland Quality	Cross or Serve as State Boundaries. Explain
POA-2011-0175 Segment 1 WL	.37	The wetland has scrub shrub.	been delineated as a palustrine	The wetland quality is considered to be moderate.	The project does not cross or serve as a state boundary.
(b) General Flow Relationship	with Non-TNW:				
Flow is: Wetland Name	Flow	Explain			

1 1011 13.		
Wetland Name	Flow	Explain
POA-2011-0175 Segment 1 WL	Intermittent flow.	-

Surface flow is:

Wetland Name	Flow	Characteristics
POA-2011-0175 Segment 1 WL	Overland sheetflow	Wetlands tend to pool water and than sheet flow to the nearest tributary. These wetlands have a minimal slope that would flow towards the adjacent perennial streams.

Subsurface flow:

	Wetland Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
ſ	POA-2011-0175 Segment 1 WL	Unknown	-	-

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Wetland Name	Directly Abutting	Discrete Wetland Hydrologic Connection	Ecological Connection	Separated by Berm/Barrier
POA-2011-0175 Segment 1 WL	Yes	-	-	-

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Wetland Name	Wetland Name River Miles From TNW F		Flow Direction	Within Floodplain	
POA-2011-0175 Segment 1 WL	1 (or less)	1 (or less)	Wetland to navigable waters	2-year or less	

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Wetland Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
POA-2011-0175 Segment 1 WL	-	No pollutants identified in the wetland area.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

Wetland Name	Riparian Buffer	Characteristics	Vegetation	Explain
POA-2011-0175 Segment 1 WL	-	-	X	Hydrophytic vegetation.

Habitat for:

Wetland Name	Habitat	Federally Listed Species	Explain Findings	Spawn Area	Explain Findings	Other Environmentally Sensitive Species	Explain Findings	Aquatic\Wildlife Diversity	Explain Findings
POA-2011-0175 Segment 1 WL	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	The wetland area supports Brown bear, Sitka black-tail deer, small mammals, furbearers, raptors, and songbirds species within the project area.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:⁸ Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain	
POA-2011-0175 Segment 1	PERENNIAL	The wetland supports several perennial stream outlets that flow into Coho Creek. Coho Creek flows into Port Fredrick a traditional and navigable water subject to ehb and flow of tide	

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
POA-2011-0175 Segment 1 WL	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	1497.33672
Total:		0	1497.33672

Not Applicable.
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.
6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.
7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters: 9 Not Applicable.
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10 Not Applicable.
Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.
F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):
Other (Explain): Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:
Not Applicable.
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable.
Not Applicable.
Not Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):