APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM

DICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 11-Jun-2012 District, POA-2003-01502-JD1 TION: - Alaska Ir Fairbanks 93333 7.71833 der UTM List M list determined by folder location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N tters UTM List M list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek dstream Creek
ristrict, POA-2003-01502-JD1 rion: - Alaska ar Fairbanks 23333 7.71833 der UTM List M list determined by folder location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N ters UTM List M list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek
r Fairbanks 93333 7.71833 der UTM List M list determined by folder location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N ters UTM List M list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek
- Alaska or Fairbanks 93333 7.71833 der UTM List M list determined by folder location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N ters UTM List M list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek
or Fairbanks 03333 7.71833 der UTM List M list determined by folder location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N tters UTM List M list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek
93333 7.71833 der UTM List M list determined by folder location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N ters UTM List M list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek
93333 7.71833 der UTM List M list determined by folder location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N ters UTM List M list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek
7.71833 der UTM List M list determined by folder location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N ters UTM List M list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek
der UTM List M list determined by folder location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N ters UTM List M list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek
M list determined by folder location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N ters UTM List M list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek
NAD83 / UTM zone 6N ters UTM List M list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek
ters UTM List M list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek
M list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek
NAD83 / UTM zone 6N dstream Creek
dstream Creek
dstream Creek
risdictional areas is/are available upon request.
• •
al sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD
ı
ors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign
ON.
urisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
anounted (de definiou sy de et it part ezs) in the renen drear
Water Type(s) Present tting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
d

b

Area: (m²) Linear: (m)

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:

based on: OHWM Elevation: (if known)
2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³
Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS
A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs
1.TNW Not Applicable.
2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable.
B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: Drainage area: Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches
(ii) Physical Characteristics (a) Relationship with TNW: Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW. Number of tributaries
Project waters are river miles from TNW. Project waters are river miles from RPW. Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.
Explain: Identify flow route to TNW: ⁵
Tributary Stream Order, if known: Not Applicable.
(b) General Tributary Characteristics:
Tributary is: Not Applicable.
Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Not Applicable.
Primary tributary substrate composition: Not Applicable.
Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient): Not Applicable.
(c) Flow: Not Applicable.

Surface Flow is:

Not Applicable.

Subsurface Flow:

Not Applicable.

Tributary has:

Not Applicable.

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by:

Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

Not Applicable.

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland Name	Size (Acres)	Wetland Type	Wetland Quality	Cross or Serve as State Boundaries. Explain
POA-2003-1502, Goldstream Creek	1.25	-	-	-

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is:

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain
POA-2003-1502, Goldstream Creek	Perennial flow.	-

Surface flow is:

Wetland Name	Flow	Characteristics
POA-2003-1502, Goldstream Creek	-	-

Subsurface flow:

Wetland Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
POA-2003-1502, Goldstream Creek	-	-	-

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Wetland Name	Directly Abutting	Discrete Wetland Hydrologic Connection	Ecological Connection	Separated by Berm/Barrier
POA-2003-1502, Goldstream Creek	Yes	-	-	-

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Wetland Name			Flow Direction	Within Floodplain
POA-2003-1502, Goldstream Creek	-	2-5	Wetland to navigable waters	500-year or greater

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Wetland Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known	
POA-2003-1502, Goldstream Creek	-	-	

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

Wetland Name	Riparian Buffer	Characteristics	Vegetation	Explain	
POA-2003-1502, Goldstream Creek	-	-	-	-	

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:8

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

,		
Wetland Name	Flow	Explain
POA-2003-1502, Goldstream Creek	PERENNIAL	Based on aerial photos and wetland mapping, wetlands are continous and abutting to Goldstream Creek a perennial flowing stream, which becomes a TNW approximately five miles from the project area, and is a tributary of the Chatanika River which is a Section 10 waterbody.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m ²)
POA-2003-1502, Goldstream Creek	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	5058.57

То	tal:			0	5058.57		
5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.							
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.							
6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.							
	ride estimates for jurisdictiona Applicable.	al wetlands in the review area:					
	poundments of jurisdictional pplicable.	waters: ⁹					
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10 Not Applicable.							
Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable.							
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.							
F. N	F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS						
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:							
	Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:						
Rul	Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):						
	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):						
	Other (Explain):						
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment: Not Applicable.							
a fin	ride acreage estimates for nor ding is required for jurisdiction Applicable.	n-jurisdictional waters in the review area on.	, that do not meet the "S	Significant Nexus" s	tandard, where such		
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCI	ES.					
	A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):						
Ì		a Reviewed	Source Lab	el Sour	ce Description		
	Maps, plans, plots or plat subm pplicant/consultant	itted by or on behalf of the	Subdivision Plat Plan	Prepa 2003	ared February		
-	U.S. Geological Survey map(s)		USGS Quad Fairbanks	D-2 scale	1:63360		
-	USDA Natural Resources Cons	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Fairbanks Area Soil Sur	vey -			
	National wetlands inventory ma	p(s).	NWI Fairbanks D-2	scale	1: 63 360		
	State/Local wetland inventory n	nap(s):	2009 Fairbanks Area we	etland -			

	mapping	
Photographs	-	-
Aerial	google earth aerial	dated 7/21/2005
Previous determination(s).	JD completed 12/15/03	-

-

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

¹-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶⁻A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁻lbid

⁸-See Footnote #3.

 $^{^{9}}$ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰⁻Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.