	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
SECTION I: BACKGROUND	INFORMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE	FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 20-Jun-2012
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAM	E, AND NUMBER: Alaska District, POA-2011-00423-JD3
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BA	ACKGROUND INFORMATION:
State :	AK - Alaska
County/parish/borough:	Sitka
City:	F7 0007
Lat: Long:	57.0637 -135.309
Universal Transverse Mercator	Folder UTM List
	UTM list determined by folder location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 8N Nadara I TAM List
	Waters UTM List UTM list determined by waters location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 8N
Name of nearest waterbody: Name of nearest Traditional Na Name of watershed or Hydrolog	
Check if map/diagram of revi	iew area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
_	site mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.;) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR S	ITE EVALUATION:
Office Determination Date:	
Field Determination Date(s):	08-Jun-2011
	09-Jun-2011
	21-Jun-2011
	19-Jun-2012
	·
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMIN	ATION OF HIPISDICTION
	S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
There havigable waters of the o.	5. Within threes and transitions not (thirty jurisdiction (as defined by 55 of it part 525) in the review area.
Waters subject to the	e ebb and flow of the tide.
Waters are presentl Explain:	y used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMI	NATION OF HIRISDICTION
	Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
. W-ttth- 11 0	
I. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of	J.S. in review area:1
Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
POA-2011-0423 Pond Relativel	y Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
o. Identify (estimate) size of water	s of the U.S. in the review area:
Area: 828229.64 (m²) Linear: (m)	
Linear. (III)	
c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdict	on:
based on: 1987 Delineatio	n Manual.
OHWM Elevation: (if known)	
2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands	.3
Potentially jurisdictional waters a	and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
,,	
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSI	S
A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJA	ACENT TO TNWs
A. THUS AND WEILANDO ADOP	NOEM TO THIS
I. TNW Not Applicable.	
2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable.	
3. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBU	TARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
I. Characteristics of non-TNWs th	at flow directly or indirectly into TNW
i) General Area Conditions:	

Drainage area:

Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics (a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are river miles from TNW.

Project waters are river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW:5

Tributary Stream Order, if known:

Order	Tributary Name
2	POA-2011-0423 Pond

(b) General Tributary Characteristics: Tributary is:

Tributary Name	Natural	Artificial	Explain	Manipulated	Explain	
POA-2011-0423 Pond	-	Х	Kaelke pond was created as mitigation for impacts of a residential subdivision in the watershed.	-	-	

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Tributary Name	Width (ft)	Depth (ft)	Side Slopes	
POA-2011-0423 Pond	40	100	Vertical (1:1 or less)	

Primary tributary substrate composition:

Tributary Name	Silt	Sands	Concrete	Cobble	Gravel	Muck	Bedrock	Vegetation	Other	
POA-2011-0423 Pond	Х	-	-		-	Х	-	-	-	

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Т	ributary Name	Condition\Stability	Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes	Geometry	Gradient (%)
PO	A-2011-0423 Pond	This area is a manmade lake. The lake was established 15 years ago for salmon rearing.	None	Relatively straight	0

(c) Flow:

Tributary Name	Provides for	Events Per Year	Flow Regime	Duration & Volume
POA-2011-0423 Pond	Perennial flow	1	Fairly constant level throughout the year.	-

Surface Flow is:

Tributary Name	Surface Flow	Characteristics
POA-2011-0423 Pond	Confined	Inlet stream has confined channels.

Subsurface Flow:

Tributary Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
POA-2011-0423 Pond	Unknown	_	_

Tributary has:

Tributary Name	Bed & Banks	онwм	Discontinuous OHWM ⁷	Explain
POA-2011-0423 Pond	-	Х	-	-

Tributaries with OHWM6 - (as indicated above)

Tributary Name	онwм	Clear	Litter	Changes in Soil	Destruction Vegetation	Shelving	Wrack Line	Matted\Absent Vegetation	Sediment Sorting	Leaf Litter	Scour	Sediment Deposition	Flow Events	Wa Stai
POA-2011-0423 Pond	X	х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by: Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Tributary Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
POA-2011-0423 Pond	Water is clear with soft mucky bottom. Vegetation has grown in over the years providing essential shading for salmon rearing in the pond.	-

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

Tributary Name	Riparian Corridor	Characteristics	Wetland Fringe	Characteristics	Habitat
POA-2011-0423 Pond	-	-	-	-	Х

Habitat for: (as indicated above)

Tributary Name	Habitat	Federally Listed Species	Explain Findings	Fish\Spawn Areas	Explain Findings	Other Environmentally Sensitive Species	Explain Findings	Aquatic\Wi
POA-2011-0423 Pond	x	-	-	X	All five salmon species have been trapped in the area. The main species is coho salmon.	-	-	-

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Not Applicable.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Not Applicable.

Surface flow is:

Not Applicable

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Not Applicable.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Not Applicable

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they sign chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more that insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequent in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any spec (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant means the foundation of the chemical provided in the contraction of the chemical provided in the chemical provi

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands: Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain		
POA-2011-0423 Pond	PERENNIAL	Outlet of pond flows directly into tributary to Indian River.		

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
POA-2011-0423 Pond	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	3844.5132
Total:		0	3844.5132

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:8

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.			
4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Not Applicable.	i.		
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.			
5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or i Not Applicable.	ndirectly into TNWs:		
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.			
6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.			
Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.			
7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters: 9 Not Applicable.			
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATE WATERS: 10 Not Applicable.	ED WETLANDS, THE US	SE, DEGRADATION OR DEST	RUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCI
Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable.			
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.			
F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS			
		4007.0 /F : W.II	18 " " 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did no		1987 Corps of Engineers Wetla	nd Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (c	or foreign) commerce:		
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area	would have been regulate	ted based soley on the "Migrato	y Bird Rule" (MBR):
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is	required for jurisdiction (Explain):	
•			
Other (Explain):			
· · ·			
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, whirrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment: Not Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that Not Applicable.			
SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.			<u> </u>
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference.)	oce helow):		
Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description]
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant		DOWL delineation July 2011	-
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	POA-2011-0423 WD	DOWL delineation July 2011	
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	-	-	
U.S. Geological Survey map(s).	Sitka A-4	-	
Photographs	-	-	
Aerial	POA2011-0423 WD	DOWL delineation July 2011	
v.			,
B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Not Applicable.			
¹ -Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III belo ² -For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flow		nus flow at least "seasonally" (e.a. tuni	cally 3 months)

F-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a fributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months

³⁻Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴⁻Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵⁻Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁻Flow notice can be described by identifying, e.g., motion with model of the control of the cont

⁸⁻See Footnote #3

^{9 -}To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

^{10.} Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdicti