		U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	
SECTION I: BACKGROUN	ID INFORMATION		N
A. REPORT COMPLETION DA	TE FOR APPROVED JU	RISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 20-Jun-2012	
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NA	AME, AND NUMBER: Alas	ska District, POA-2011-00423-JD5	
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND	BACKGROUND INFOR	MATION:	
State :		AK - Alaska	
County/parish/borough: City:		Sitka	
Lat:		57.0637	
Long:		-135.309	
Universal Transverse Mercate	or	Folder UTM List	
		UTM list determined by folder location	
		NAD83 / UTM zone 8N	
		Waters UTM List	
		UTM list determined by waters location	
Name of pageon waterhady		NAD83 / UTM zone 8N Indian River	
Name of nearest waterbody: Name of nearest Traditional N	Navigable Water (TNW)		
Name of watershed or Hydrol	• , ,		
Check if map/diagram of r	eview area and/or potenti	ial jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.	
	•	sposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD	
form.			
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR	R SITE EVALUATION:		
Office Determination Date	:		
✓ Field Determination Date(s): 08-Jun-2011		
·	09-Jun-2011		
	21-Jun-2011		
	19-Jun-2012		
			,
SECTION II: SUMMARY O	F FINDINGS		8
		rioù .	_
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERM There "navigable waters of the		Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.	
	the ebb and flow of the t		
_		used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign	
commerce.	•		
Explain:			
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETER	MINATION OF JURISDIC	CTION.	
There "waters of the U.S." wit	thin Clean Water Act (CW	(A) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.	,
. Waters of the U.S.			
. Waters of the 0.5. . Indicate presence of waters o	of U.S. in review area:1		
Water Name		/ater Type(s) Present	
POA-2011-0423 Sedge Fen		RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Area: 828229.64 (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Manual. **OHWM Elevation:** (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:3 Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS** A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs 1.TNW Not Applicable. 2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable. B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: Drainage area: Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches (ii) Physical Characteristics (a) Relationship with TNW: Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW. :Number of tributaries Project waters are river miles from TNW. Project waters are river miles from RPW. Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Identify flow route to TNW:5 Tributary Stream Order, if known: Not Applicable. (b) General Tributary Characteristics: Tributary is: Not Applicable. Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Not Applicable. Primary tributary substrate composition: Not Applicable. Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

(c) Flow:

Not Applicable.

Surface Flow is:

Not Applicable.

Subsurface Flow:

Not Applicable.

Tributary has:

Not Applicable.

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by:

Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

Not Applicable.

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland Name	Size (Acres)	Wetland Type	Wetland Quality	Cross or Serve as State Boundaries. Explain
POA-2011-0423 Sedge Fen	.31	-	-	-

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is:

Not Applicable.

Surface flow is:

Wetland Name	Flow	Characteristics
POA-2011-0423 Sedge Fen	-	-

Subsurface flow:

Wetland Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
POA-2011-0423 Sedge Fen	-	-	-

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Wetland Name	Directly Abutting	Discrete Wetland Hydrologic Connection	Ecological Connection	Separated by Berm/Barrier
POA-2011-0423 Sedge Fen	No	-	-	-

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Wetland Name	River Miles	Aerial Miles	Flow Direction	Within Floodplain	
--------------	-------------	--------------	----------------	-------------------	--

	From TNW	From TNW			
POA-2011-0423 Sedge Fen	-	-	-	-	

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Wetland Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
POA-2011-0423 Sedge Fen	-	-

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

Wetland Name	Riparian Buffer	Characteristics	Vegetation	Explain
POA-2011-0423 Sedge Fen	-	-	-	-

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:8

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
POA-2011-0423 Sedge Fen	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	1254.52536

Total:		0		1254.52536				
5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.								
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.								
6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.								
Provide estimates for jurisd Not Applicable.	ictional wetlands in the review area:							
7. Impoundments of jurisdic Not Applicable.	ctional waters: ⁹							
	OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATE COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUI			ON OR				
Identify water body and sun Not Applicable.	nmarize rationale supporting determination:							
Provide estimates for jurisd Not Applicable.	ictional waters in the review area:							
E NON-HIPISDICTIONAL W	ATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS							
If potential wetlands we	re assessed within the review area, these areas did not ppropriate Regional Supplements:	meet the criteria in the	1987 Corps of Eng	jineers Wetland				
Review area included is	olated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or	foreign) commerce:						
Prior to the Jan 2001 Su Rule" (MBR):	preme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area v	vould have been regula	ted based soley on	the "Migratory Bird				
Waters do not meet the	"Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is re	equired for jurisdiction (Explain):					
Other (Explain):								
•	or non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, whe ratory birds, presence of endangered species, use	•	•					
Provide acreage estimates a finding is required for juri Not Applicable.	for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that sdiction.	do not meet the "Sig	nificant Nexus" st	andard, where such				
SECTION IV: DATA SO	URCES.			<u> </u>				
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):								
	Data Reviewed Source Label Source Description							
	t submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	POA-2011-0423 WD	DOWL delineation	-				
	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant POA-2011-0423 WD DOWL delineation July 2011							
	Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report							
U.S. Geological Survey r Photographs	παμ(ο).	Sitka A-4	-					
Aerial		-	-					

	Other	POA-2011-0423 WD	DOWL delineation July 2011
--	-------	------------------	----------------------------

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

¹-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

 $^{^{3}\}text{-Supporting}$ documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

^{′ -}Ibic

⁸-See Footnote #3.

 $^{^{9}}$ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰⁻Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.