APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

4	
SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFO	RMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 31-Jul-2012
B. DISTRICT OFFICE. FILE NAME. ANI	NUMBER: Alaska District, POA-2012-00236-JD2
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKG	(OUND INFORMATION:
State :	AK - Alaska
County/parish/borough:	North Slope
City:	Prudhoe Bay
Lat:	70.24866
Long:	-150.28243
Universal Transverse Mercator	Folder UTM List
	UTM list determined by folder location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 5N
	Waters UTM List
	UTM list determined by waters location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 5N
Name of nearest waterbody:	Miluveach River
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable	Water (TNW): Colville River
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Uni	
, ,	,
Check if map/diagram of review are	a and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Chack if other sites (e.g. offsite mis	igation sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.
Crieck if Other sites (e.g., Offsite fill)	igation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different 3D form.
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EV	ALUATION:
_	
Office Determination Date: 31-July	I-2012
Field Determination Date(s):	
	·
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDI	NCS
SECTION II. SUMMART OF FINDI	NOS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION	OF JURISDICTION
There "navigable waters of the U.S." wit	nin Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
Waters subject to the ebb	and flow of the tide
waters subject to the ebb	and now of the tide.
Waters are presently used	, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
Explain:	
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION	
There "waters of the U.S." within Clear	Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
1. Waters of the U.S.	. 1
a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in	
Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
POA-2012-235, BRPC, PUB wetlands	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
POA-2012-236, BRPC PAB wetlands	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
POA-2012-236, BRPC PEM1 wetlands	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
POA-2012-236, BRPC, L1UB wetlands	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
POA-2012-236, BRPC, PSS1 wetlands	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of th	e U.S. in the review area:
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	e U.S. in the review area:
b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the Area: (m²) Linear: (m)	e U.S. in the review area:
Area: (m²)	e U.S. in the review area:
Area: (m²)	e U.S. in the review area:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:	
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man	
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:	
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known)	
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known)	
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³	
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³	ual. wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or	ual.
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS A. TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS A. TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS A. TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS A. TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT 1.TNW Not Applicable.	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS A. TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT 1.TNW Not Applicable. 2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS A. TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT 1.TNW Not Applicable.	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS A. TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT 1.TNW Not Applicable. 2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable.	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS A. TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT 1.TNW Not Applicable. 2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable.	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS A. TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT 1.TNW Not Applicable. 2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable.	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS A. TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT 1.TNW Not Applicable. 2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable.	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: TO TNWS (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS A. TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT 1.TNW Not Applicable. 2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable. B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: TO TNWS (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
Area: (m²) Linear: (m) c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction: based on: 1987 Delineation Man OHWM Elevation: (if known) 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS A. TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT 1.TNW Not Applicable. 2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable. B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY	wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: TO TNWS (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

water	SHE	u	5126
D!-			

Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics (a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are river miles from TNW.

Project waters are river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW:5

Tributary Stream Order, if known: Not Applicable.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics:

Tributary is: Not Applicable.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Not Applicable.

Primary tributary substrate composition:

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Not Applicable.

(c) Flow: Not Applicable.

Surface Flow is:

Not Applicable.

Subsurface Flow: Not Applicable.

Tributary has: Not Applicable.

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by: Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.).

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:				
Wetland Name	Size (Acres)	Wetland Type	Wetland Quality	Cross or Serve as State Boundaries. Explain
POA-2012-235, BRPC, PUB wetlands	66.9	R2UB, L1UB, PUB, PAB, PEM1, PEM1/SS1 B, PSS1/EM1 B, R2US	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PAB wetlands	26.7	-	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PEM1 wetlands	1893.8	-	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, L1UB wetlands	.8	-	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, PSS1 wetlands	10.4		-	-

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is:

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain
POA-2012-235, BRPC, PUB wetlands	Perennial flow.	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PEM1 wetlands	Perennial flow.	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, L1UB wetlands	Perennial flow.	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, PSS1 wetlands	Perennial flow.	-

Surface flow is:

Wetland Name	Flow	Characteristics
POA-2012-235, BRPC, PUB wetlands	Overland sheetflow	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PAB wetlands	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PEM1 wetlands	Overland sheetflow	
POA-2012-236, BRPC, L1UB wetlands	Overland sheetflow	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, PSS1 wetlands	Overland sheetflow	-

Subsurface flow:

Wetland Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
POA-2012-235, BRPC, PUB wetlands	Yes	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PAB wetlands	-	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PEM1 wetlands	-	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, L1UB wetlands	-	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, PSS1 wetlands	-	-	-

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Wetland Name	Directly Abutting	Discrete Wetland Hydrologic Connection	Ecological Connection	Separated by Berm/Barrier
POA-2012-235, BRPC, PUB wetlands	Yes	-	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PAB wetlands	No	-	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PEM1 wetlands	No	-	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, L1UB wetlands	No	-	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, PSS1 wetlands	No	-	-	-

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Wetland Name	River Miles From TNW	Aerial Miles From TNW	Flow Direction	Within Floodplain
POA-2012-235, BRPC, PUB wetlands	15-20	5-10	Wetland to navigable waters	500-year or greater
POA-2012-236, BRPC PAB wetlands	-	-	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PEM1 wetlands	-	-	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, L1UB wetlands	-	-	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, PSS1 wetlands	-	-	-	-

(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Wetland Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
POA-2012-235, BRPC, PUB wetlands	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PAB wetlands	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PEM1 wetlands	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, L1UB wetlands	-	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, PSS1 wetlands	-	-

(iii) Biological Characteristics Wetland supports:

III) Biological Characteristics. We	etiana supports:			
Wetland Name	Riparian Buffer	Characteristics	Vegetation	Explain
POA-2012-235, BRPC, PUB wetlands	X	Refer to the Mustang Development Project Environmental Report (Oasis 2012)	х	Refer to the Mustang Development Project Jurisdictional Report and Maps (Oasis 2012)
POA-2012-236, BRPC PAB wetlands	Х	-	х	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PEM1 wetlands	Х	-	х	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, L1UB wetlands	Х	-	Х	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, PSS1 wetlands	х	-	х	-

Habitat for:

Wetland Name	Habitat	Federally Listed Species	Explain Findings	Spawn Area	Explain Findings	Other Environmentally Sensitive Species	Explain Findings	Aquatic\Wildlife Diversity	Explain Findings
POA-2012-235, BRPC, PUB wetlands	×	x	Potential habitat for polar bear and spectacled eider. Refer to the Mustang Development Project Environmental Report (Oasis 2012)	х	Refer to the Mustang Development Project Environmental Report (Oasis 2012)	x	Refer to the Mustang Development Project Environmental Report (Oasis 2012)	x	Refer to the Mustang Development Project Environmental Report (Oasis 2012)
POA-2012-236, BRPC PAB wetlands	х	x	-	x	-	X	-	x	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC PEM1 wetlands	х	х	-	х	-	x	-	х	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, L1UB wetlands	х	х	-	х	-	х	-	х	-
POA-2012-236, BRPC, PSS1 wetlands	х	Х	-	x	-	Х	-	x	-

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis: Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed Not Applicable

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands: Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:8

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain
POA-2012-235, BRPC, PUB wetlands	PERENNIAL	There are continuous permafrost wetlands across the area that flow into the Miluveach River which flows into the Colville River; the Colville River is listed as TNWs on the Alaska District¿s Approved list of Navigable Waters.
POA-2012-236, BRPC PAB wetlands	PERENNIAL	There are continuous permafrost wetlands across the area that flow into the Miluveach River which flows into the Colville River; the Colville River is listed as TNWs on the Alaska District¿s Approved list of Navigable Waters.
POA-2012-236, BRPC PEM1 wetlands	PERENNIAL	There are continuous permafrost wetlands across the area that flow into the Miluveach River which flows into the Colville River; the Colville River is listed as TNWs on the Alaska District¿s Approved list of Navigable Waters.
POA-2012-236, BRPC, L1UB wetlands	PERENNIAL	There are continuous permafrost wetlands across the area that flow into the Miluveach River which flows into the Colville River; the Colville River is listed as TNWs on the Alaska District¿s Approved list of Navigable Waters.
POA-2012-236, BRPC, PSS1 wetlands	PERENNIAL	There are continuous permafrost wetlands across the area that flow into the Miluveach River which flows into the Colville River; the Colville River is listed as TNWs on the Alaska District¿s Approved list of Navigable Waters.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
POA-2012-235, BRPC, PUB wetlands	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	270734.6664
POA-2012-236, BRPC PAB wetlands	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	108051.0552
POA-2012-236, BRPC PEM1 wetlands	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	7663935.8928
POA-2012-236, BRPC, L1UB wetlands	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	3237.4848
POA-2012-236, BRPC, PSS1 wetlands	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	42087.3024
Total:		0	8088046.4016

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:9

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10 Not Applicable.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):
Other (Explain):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below): **Data Reviewed** Source Label **Source Description** --Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant --Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant ----Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report USGS Quad maps Harrison Bay A-1 & B-1 -- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). --National wetlands inventory map(s). USGS Quad NWI Harrison Bay A-1 & B-1 --Photographs ----Aerial ----Other Agent supplied photos July 2012

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

¹-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²⁻For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least *seasonally* (e.g., typically 3 months).

³-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴⁻Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵⁻Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

^{6.} A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

7. blid.

⁸⁻See Footnote #3.

^{9 -}To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰⁻prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.