APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 06-Jun-2012

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Alaska District, POA-2012-00370-JD1

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: AK - Alaska

County/parish/borough: Prince of Wales-Outer Ketchikan

 City:
 Klawock

 Lat:
 55.55222

 Long:
 -133.09583

 Universal Transverse Mercator
 Folder UTM List

UTM list determined by folder location

• NAD83 / UTM zone 8N

Waters UTM List

UTM list determined by waters location

Name of nearest waterbody: Klawock River

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Klawock Harbor

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 19010103

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:

Office Determination Date: 06-Jun-2012

Field Determination Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area: 1

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present	
POA-2012-370, Klawock River (uplands)	Uplands	

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Area: (m²) Linear: (m)

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:

based on:

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Lot did not have hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, or wetland hydrology. The lot is located within uplands.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

1.TNW

Not Applicable.

2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW

Not Applicable.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

- 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
- (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size:

Drainage area:

Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are river miles from TNW.

Project waters are river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from

TNW.

Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

RM Printer Friendly J) Form
Explain:	
Identify flow route	to TNW: ⁵
Tributary Stream O Not Applicable.	rder, if known:
(b) General Tributa Tributary is: Not Applicable.	ry Characteristics:
Tributary propertie Not Applicable.	s with respect to top of bank (estimate):
Primary tributary so Not Applicable.	ubstrate composition:
Tributary (condition Not Applicable.	ns, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):
(c) Flow: Not Applicable.	
Surface Flow is: Not Applicable.	
Subsurface Flow: Not Applicable.	
Tributary has: Not Applicable.	
If factors other than	n the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:
High Tide Line indi Not Applicable.	cated by:
Mean High Water N Not Applicable.	ark indicated by:
(iii) Chemical Chara Characterize tributa Not Applicable.	acteristics: ary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.
(iv) Biological Char Not Applicable.	acteristics. Channel supports:
2. Characteristics of	of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i) Physical Charac (a) General Wetland Properties: Not Applicable.	

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.

Not Applicable.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	Submitted request	Received May 14, 2012
U.S. Geological Survey map(s).	Craig C-4	Section 10, T. 73 S., R. 81 E.
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey.	Ketchikan Area, Alaska	soil survey
National wetlands inventory map(s).	Craig C-4	Section 10, T. 73 S., R. 81 E.
Photographs	-	-
Aerial	Alaska Aerial	imagery date unknown
Other	Sumitted photos	Received May 14, 2012 by applicant.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

¹⁻Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

 $^{3\}textsubscript{-}\text{Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.}$

⁴⁻Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶⁻A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

^{7&}lt;sub>-Ibid.</sub>

⁸⁻See Footnote #3.

 $^{^{9}}$ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰⁻Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.