	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JU	IRISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 01-Jun-2012
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Ala	ska District, POA-2012-00442-JD1
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFOR	MATION:
State :	AK - Alaska
County/parish/borough:	Juneau
City:	Juneau
Lat:	58.36
Long:	-134.644
Universal Transverse Mercator	Folder UTM List UTM list determined by folder location • NAD83 / UTM zone 8N
	Waters UTM List UTM list determined by waters location NAD83 / UTM zone 8N
Name of nearest waterbody:	Auke Bay
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):	Auke Bay
Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potent	ial jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, dis	sposal sites, $\operatorname{etc}_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}}$) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:	
Office Determination Date: 30-May-2012	
Field Determination Date(s):	
Field Determination Date(s).	,
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDIC	TION
	nd Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the t	ide
_	used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
Explain: The proposed project would occur below the p within 1 mile of the proposed project location th recreation/sport fishing in the Haines area. Fish Lutak Inlet are used to transport commerce su	lane of mean high water (approximately +15.8 feet above the 0.0 foot contour) in Lutak Inlet, which is a navigable water of the United States. There are a nat support federally managed fisheries resources (i.e. Chum, Coho, and pink and sockeye salmon). Interstate and foreign travelers harvest salmon while that out-migrate from and in-migrate to these anadromous fish streams are caught by commercial fishers in navigable waters (i.e.,Lynn Canal and Lutach as goods and services via ships and barges. Also, these waters are used by ocean going cruise ships or by commercial guides to transport tourists to cinity for recreation such as wildlife viewing, hunting, and kayaking.
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDIC There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CW	CTION. (A) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
1. Waters of the U.S.	
a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:1	
	Vater Type(s) Present
POA-2012-442, Wetlands Relatively Permanent Wate	rs (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the re Area: 222.577103 (m^2) Linear: (m)	view area:
c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:	
based on: Established by OHWM.	
OHWM Elevation: (if known)	
2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³	
Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were	assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS	
A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs	7
1.TNW Not Applicable.	
2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable.	
B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT	A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or inc	directly into TNW
(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size:	

Drainage area:

Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics (a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are river miles from TNW.

Project waters are river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW:5

Tributary Stream Order, if known:

Order	Tributary Name
1	POA-2012-442, Wetlands

(b) General Tributary Characteristics: Tributary is:

Tributary Name	Natural	Artificial	Explain	Manipulated	Explain
POA-2012-442, Wetlands	X	-	-	X	The open water feature on the site appears to have been created as the result of the excavation of a small stream.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
	Tributary Name	Width (ft)	Depth (ft)	Side Slopes						
	POA-2012-442, Wetlands	23	2	Vertical (1:1 or less)						

Primary tributary substrate composition:

Tributary Name	Silt	Sands	Concrete	Cobble	Gravel	Muck	Bedrock	Vegetation	Other
POA-2012-442, Wetlands	X	X	-	X	X	X	-	-	-

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Tributary Name	Condition\Stability	Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes	Ge
POA-2012-442, Wetlands	Past impact by erosion, sedimentation, and elevated suspended particulates in the water column. Currently no erosion or other water quality impacts. System is stabile.	No riffles. One large pool.	Relati straig

(c) Flow:

Tributary Name	Provides for	Events Per Year	Flow Regime	Duration & Volume
POA-2012-442, Wetlands	Perennial flow	20 (or greater)	Less than 100 cubic feet per second 100% of the time.	-

Surface Flow is:

Tributary Name	Surface Flow	Characteristics
POA-2012-442, Wetlands	Confined	-

Subsurface Flow:

Tributary Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
POA-2012-442. Wetlands	Unknown	-	-

Tributary has:

Tributary Name	Bed & Banks	онwм	Discontinuous OHWM ⁷	Explain
POA-2012-442, Wetlands	X	X	-	-

Tributaries with OHWM⁶ - (as indicated above)

Tributary Name	онwм	Clear	Litter	Changes in Soil	Destruction Vegetation	Shelving	Wrack Line	Matted\Absent Vegetation	Sediment Sorting	Leaf Litter	Scour	Sediment Deposition	Flow Events	Wa Stai
POA-2012-442, Wetlands	Х	Х	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by: Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Tributary Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known	
POA-2012-442, Wetlands	The water is clear. Water quality is good.	-	

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

Tributary Name	Riparian Corridor	Characteristics	Wetland Fringe	Characteristics	Habitat	
POA-2012-442, Wetlands	-	-	X	Palustrine emergent/forested.	-	

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Not Applicable.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is:

Not Applicable.

Surface flow is: Not Applicable

Subsurface flow: Not Applicable.

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Not Applicable.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW: Not Applicable.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports: Not Applicable.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis: Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they sign chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more that insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any spec (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands: Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain
POA-2012-442, Wetlands	PERENNIAL	The waters at the site receive water primarily from rainfall, and secondarily from snowmelt and ground water, depending on the season. Annual precipitation in the Jun 90 inches of rain fall and approximately 100 inches of snow, which would maintain a consistent flow.

Frovide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area.			
Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
POA-2012-442, Wetlands	DA-2012-442, Wetlands Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TN		222.577103
Total:		0	222.577103

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:8

Not Applicable

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.
5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.
6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.
7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters: ⁹ Not Applicable.
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, WATERS: 10 Not Applicable.
Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.
F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):
Other (Explain):
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangere irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment: Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable.

.....

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	Hughes Way Street Reconstruction	Project plans.
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	Hughes Way Turn Around	Preliminary jurisdictional determination dated 5/1/2012 prepared by Bosworth Botania
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	-	
U.S. Geological Survey map(s).	Juneau B-2	Topographic map.
Photographs	Ground Photography	Photos from site inspection performed on May 29, 2010
Aerial	Orthographic Aerial Photo	24MAY09WV011400009MAY24203250-P1BS-005693132010_12_P002

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable

¹⁻Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²⁻For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

 $^{^{3}\}textsc{-Supporting}$ documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴⁻Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵⁻Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Flow followed all be described by identifying, e.g., infloring a, which nows smoogh the review area, on now mind inducary a, which nows mind inverse.

6. An antural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a brea the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

7. Ibid.

7. Ibid.

⁸⁻See Footnote #3.

⁹ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰_Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdicti