APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

	o.o. Army oorps of Engineers
SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMAT	TON
	DVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 13-Jul-2012
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMI	3ER: Alaska District, POA-2012-00496-JD1
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND	INFORMATION:
State :	AK - Alaska
County/parish/borough:	Yukon-Koyukuk
City:	Coldfoot
Lat: Long:	66.8121 -150.6449
Universal Transverse Mercator	Folder UTM List
	UTM list determined by folder location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 5N
	Waters UTM List UTM list determined by waters location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 5N
Name of nearest waterbody:	Jim River
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code	
Check if map/diagram of review area and/o	or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation	sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUA	TION:
☑ Office Determination Date: 13-Jul-2012	
Field Determination Date(s):	
	,
	,
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JU	PRISDICTION
	ers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
Waters subject to the ebb and flow	w of the tide.
	ve been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
Explain:	
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF J	JURISDICTION.
There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water	Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
	,
1. Waters of the U.S.	
a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review	v area:1
Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
Prospect Creek Airport wetlands Wetlands dir	rectly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S.	in the review area:
Area: (m²)	
Linear: (m)	
c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:	
based on: OHWM Elevation: (if known)	
Crivin Lievation: (ii known)	
2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³	
Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlan	ds were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
	Λ.
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS	
A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TN	IWs
	,
1.TNW	
Not Applicable.	
2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable.	
FF	
B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT	IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow direct	tly or indirectly into TNW
(i) General Area Conditions:	
Watershed size:	
Drainage area:	
Average annual rainfall: inches	
Average annual snowfall: inches	

(ii) Physical Characteristics				
(a) Relationship with TNW: Tributary flows directly into TN	w			
Tributary flows through [] tribu		ering TNW.		
:Number of tributaries		Ü		
Project waters are river miles from	n TNW.			
Project waters are river miles from				
Project Waters are aerial (straight Project waters are aerial(straight)				
Project waters cross or serve Explain:	as state boundari	ies.		
Identify flow route to TNW:5				
,				
Tributary Stream Order, if known Not Applicable.	:			
(b) General Tributary Characteris	tics:			
Tributary is:				
Not Applicable.				
Tributary properties with respect Not Applicable.	to top of bank (e	estimate):		
Not Applicable.				
Primary tributary substrate comp Not Applicable.	osition:			
Tributary (conditions, stability, pr	racanaa aaamat	ry gradient):		
Not Applicable.	esence, geomet	ry, gradientj.		
(c) Flow:				
Not Applicable.				
Ourface Flourier				
Surface Flow is: Not Applicable.				
Subsurface Flow: Not Applicable.				
Tributary has: Not Applicable.				
K				
If factors other than the OHWM w	ere usea to dete	ermine lateral exte	nt of CWA jurisdiction	on:
High Tide Line indicated by:				
Not Applicable.				
Mean High Water Mark indicated	by:			
Not Applicable.				
(iii) Chemical Characteristics:				
Characterize tributary (e.g., water Not Applicable.	color is clear, d	liscolored, oily file	n; water quality;gene	eral watershed characteristics, etc.).
····· + F·······				
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Ch Not Applicable.	annel supports:			
ног Арріїсавіе.				
2. Characteristics of wetlands adj	acent to non-TN	IW that flow direct	tly or indirectly into 1	TNW
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristi	cs:			
Properties: Wetland Name	Size (Acres)	Wetland Type	Wetland Quality	Cross or Serve as State Boundaries. Expla
Prospect Creek Airport wetlands	2.4	PSS4/1B	moderate	-
				,
(b) General Flow Relationship wit	h Non-TNW			
Flow is:				
Wetland Name Prospect Creek Airport wetlands	Flow Perennial flow.	Explain		
Flospect Creek Alipoit wellands	refermatiow.	-		
Surface flow is: Wetland Name	Flow	Charact	orietice	
Prospect Creek Airport wetlands	Discrete and co		GIIGUGS	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
Subsurface fla				
Subsurface flow: Wetland Name	Subsurface F	low Explain Fi	indings Dve (or o	other) Test
Prospect Creek Airport wetlands	-	- Explain 1	270 (01 0	-

(c) wetland Adj	jacency Determ	imation with Non-TNW:	

Wetland Name	Directly Abutting	Discrete Wetland Hydrologic Connection	Ecological Connection	Separated by Berm/Barrier

Prospect Creek Airport wetlands Yes	X	-	-	
-------------------------------------	---	---	---	--

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Wetland Name	River Miles From TNW	Aerial Miles From TNW	Flow Direction	Within Floodplain	
Prospect Creek Airport wetlands	1-2	1-2	Wetland to navigable waters	100 - 500-year	

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Wetland Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
Prospect Creek Airport wetlands	-	_

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

Wetland Name	Riparian Buffer	Characteristics	Vegetation	Explain
Prospect Creek Airport wetlands			-	-

Habitat for:

Wetland Name	Habitat	Federally Listed Species	Explain Findings	Spawn Area	Explain Findings	Other Environmentally Sensitive Species	Explain Findings	Aquatic\Wildlife Diversity	Explain Findings
Prospect Creek Airport wetlands	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	wetlands support diversity of mammals, and some support for waterbirds, wood frogs

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis: Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:8

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain	
Prospect Creek Airport wetlands	PERENNIAL	tributary shown on USGS topo and water evident in aerial photo	

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area

o ao	nounctional motianac in the forten area.		
Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
Prospect Creek Airport wetlands	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	9712.4544
Total:		0	9712 4544

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.
7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters: ⁹ Not Applicable.
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10 Not Applicable.
Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.
F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):
Other (Explain):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	plan with wetland boundary	ADOT&PF application
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	ABR wetland delineation	2005
U.S. Geological Survey map(s).	Bettles D-2	
Photographs	-	
Aerial	satellite photo	GoogleEarth
Other	site photos	ADOT&PF application & ABR delineation within POA-2005-598 JD file
Previous determination(s).	-	-

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Description

ABR wetland delineation provides much supporting information about the subject wetlands, demonstrating that they directly abut a relatively permanent water and are of moderate quality.

¹-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²⁻For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³⁻Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴⁻Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵⁻Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

An attural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

7-lbid.

 $^{^{\}rm 9}$ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

To complete the analysis relief to the key in Section in Existing or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.