APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMA	ATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPR	ROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 26-Oct-2012
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUI	MBER: Alaska District, POA-2012-00874-JD1
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUN	ND INFORMATION:
State:	AK - Alaska
County/parish/borough: City:	Yukon-Koyukuk Tanana
Lat:	65.1677
Long:	-152.24653
Universal Transverse Mercator	Folder UTM List
	UTM list determined by folder location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 5N
	Waters UTM List
	UTM list determined by waters location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 5N
Name of nearest waterbody:	Yukon River
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Wat	
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Coc	de (HUC):
Check if map/diagram of review area and	d/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigatio form.	on sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD
D. DEVIEW DEDEODMED FOR SITE EVALUA	IATION.
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALU	ATION:
✓ Office Determination Date: 25-Oct-20¹	12
Field Determination Date(s):	
4	
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF	JURISDICTION
There are "navigable waters of the U.S." within	n Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
Waters subject to the ebb and fl	low of the tide.
Waters are presently used, or h commerce.	ave been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign
Explain: The Yukon River is on the list of Cor	rps of Engineers navigable waters in Alaska.
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF	JURISDICTION.
There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water	er Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
Waters of the U.S. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in reviews.	ew area⋅¹
Water Name Water Type(s) Present	
Yukon River TNWs, including territorial se	
Tallot Ta	
b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S	S. in the review area:
Area: (m²)	
Linear: (m)	

https://orm.usace.army.mil/orm2/f?p=106:34:2400391484868811::NO::

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:

based on:

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:3

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWS AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWS

1.TNW

TNW Name	Summarize rationale supporting determination:
Yukon River	The Yukon River is on the Corps of ENgineers list of navigable waters and is thereby a TNW.

2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW

Not Applicable.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

- 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
- (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size:

Drainage area:

Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

- (ii) Physical Characteristics
- (a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are river miles from TNW.

Project waters are river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW:5

Tributary Stream Order, if known:

Not Applicable.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics:

Tributary is:

Not Applicable.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Not Applicable.

Primary tributary substrate composition:

Not Applicable.

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Not Applicable.

(c) Flow: Not Applicable.
Surface Flow is: Not Applicable.
Subsurface Flow: Not Applicable.
Tributary has: Not Applicable.
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:
High Tide Line indicated by: Not Applicable.
Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Not Applicable.
(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports: Not Applicable.
2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Not Applicable.
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
Flow is: Not Applicable.
Surface flow is: Not Applicable.
Subsurface flow: Not Applicable.
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Not Applicable.
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW: Not Applicable.
(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports: Not Applicable.
3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):
All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis: Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m ²)
Yukon River	TNWs, including territorial seas	-	8093.712
Total:		0	8093.712

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:8

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:9

Not Applicable.

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10

Not Applicable.

and a stimute for inicialistical materials the section of			
rovide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: ot Applicable.			
NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS			
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:	meet the criteria in	the 1987 Corps of Engineers	Wetland
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or	r foreign) commerce	:	
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area was calle" (MBR):	vould have been reg	ulated based soley on the "M	ligratory E
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is re	equired for jurisdiction	on (Explain):	
Other (Explain):			
rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, who ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: ot Applicable.			
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: ot Applicable. rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. ot Applicable.	of water for irrigate	ed agriculture), using best p	orofessio
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: ot Applicable. rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. ot Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD	of water for irrigated of water for irrigated of the "S	ed agriculture), using best p	orofessio
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: of Applicable. To voide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. of Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference.)	of water for irrigate do not meet the "\$	ed agriculture), using best p	orofessio
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: ot Applicable. rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. ot Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference Data Reviewed	do not meet the "S	ed agriculture), using best p	orofessio
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: of Applicable. To voide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. of Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference.)	of water for irrigate do not meet the "\$	ed agriculture), using best possible of the second	orofessio
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: ot Applicable. rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. ot Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	ce below): Source Label application plans	ed agriculture), using best posting best pos	orofessio
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: ot Applicable. rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. ot Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultantU.S. Geological Survey map(s).	ce below): Source Label application plans	ed agriculture), using best posting best pos	orofessio
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: ot Applicable. rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. ot Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultantU.S. Geological Survey map(s)Photographs	ce below): Source Label application plans Tanana A-5	Source Description	orofessio
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: ot Applicable. rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. ot Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultantU.S. Geological Survey map(s)Photographs	ce below): Source Label application plans Tanana A-5	Source Description	I, where
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: ot Applicable. rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. ot Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultantU.S. Geological Survey map(s)PhotographsAerial	ce below): Source Label application plans Tanana A-5	Source Description	I, where
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: ot Applicable. rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. ot Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultantU.S. Geological Survey map(s)PhotographsAerial	ce below): Source Label application plans Tanana A-5	Source Description	I, where s
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: ot Applicable. rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. ot Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultantU.S. Geological Survey map(s)PhotographsAerial	ce below): Source Label application plans Tanana A-5	Source Description	I, where s
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: ot Applicable. Tovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. of Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultantU.S. Geological Survey map(s). PhotographsAerial ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Description	ce below): Source Label application plans Tanana A-5	Source Description	I, where
ctors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use dgment: ot Applicable. Tovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that finding is required for jurisdiction. of Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference Data Reviewed Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultantU.S. Geological Survey map(s). PhotographsAerial ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Description	ce below): Source Label application plans Tanana A-5	Source Description	I, where

⁴⁻Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶⁻A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁸-See Footnote #3.

 $^{^{\}rm 9}$ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.