APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): September 11, 2013
- B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Alaska District, POA- 2013 204
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: Alaska Borough: Haines City: Haines

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 59.24322 ° N, Long. -135.42779 °W

Universal Transverse Mercator: Click here to enter text.

Name of nearest waterbody: Lynn Canal

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Lynn Canal

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 19010303

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: June 6, 2013

Field Determination. Date(s): Click here to enter a date., Click here to enter a date.

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are and are not "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: The proposed project would occur below the plane of the high tide line (approximately +21.2 feet above the 0.0 foot elevation line) and the mean high water (approximately +15.8 feet above the 0.0 elevation line) in Lynn Canal, which is a navigable water of the United States. Lynn Canal is directly connected to the Gulf of Alaska which is a part of the Pacific Ocean through uninterrupted inside passage waters (Icy Strait, Chattham Strait, and Frederick Sound). All areas identified above are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are and are not "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

a.	Indicate presence	of waters of	U.S. in revi	iew area (check a	all that apply): 1
----	--------------------------	--------------	--------------	-------------------	-----------------------

TNWs, including territorial seas
Wetlands adjacent to TNWs

Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: 900 linear feet

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Within 3-Mile Baseline

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): Click here to enter text.

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Click here to enter text.

File Name & Number -1-

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Lynn Canal

Summarize rationale supporting determination: The proposed project would occur below the plane of the high tide line (approximately +21.2 feet above the 0.0 elevation line) and the mean high water (approximately +15.8 feet above the 0.0 foot elevation line) in Lena Cove, which is a navigable water of the United States. There are anadromous fish steams within 1.5 miles of the proposed project location that support federally managed fisheries resources (i.e. Chum, Coho, Pink, Chinook, and Sockeye Salmon). Interstate and foreign travelers harvest salmon while engaging in guided recreation/sport fishing in the Haines area. Fish that outmigrate from and in-migrate to these anadromous fish steams are caught by commercial and subsistence fishers in navigable waters (i.e. Lutak Inlet, Chilkoot Inlet, and Lynn Canal). Lutak Inlet, Chilkoot Inlet, and Lynn Canal are used to transport commerce such as goods and services via ships and barges. Also, these waters are used by ocean going cruise ships or by commercial guides to transport tourists to Juneau, Haines, Skagway, and other tourism related establishments in the project vicinity for recreation such as wildlife viewing, hunting, and kayaking.

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": Click here to enter text.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: # Choose an item. Drainage area: # Choose an item. Average annual rainfall: # inches Average annual snowfall: # inches (ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through *Choose an item.* tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are Choose an item. river miles from TNW. Project waters are Choose an item. river miles from RPW. Project waters are Choose an item. aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are *Choose an item.* aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Click here to enter text. Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Click here to enter text. Tributary stream order, if known: Click here to enter text. (b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

File Name & Number -2-

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Tributary is:		Natural						
			Artificial (man-made). Expl	ain: C	Click here to	enter t	text.	
			Manipulate	ed (man-altered).	Expla	in: <i>Click he</i>	re to e	enter text.	
	• • •	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):							
	Average widtl Average deptl								
	Average deput			item.					
	Primary tributary s	ubstra	ate composi	tion (check all tha	t appl	ly):			
	Silts			Sands				Concrete	
	Cobbles			Gravel				Muck	
	Bedrock			Vegetation. Type	e/% co	over: Click I	here to	o enter text.	
	Other. Ex	xplain	: Click here	to enter text.					
	Tributary condition Presence of run/rifi Tributary geometry Tributary gradient	fle/po y: <i>Cho</i>	ol complexe	es. Explain: Click			Explai	in: Click here to enter text.	
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides Estimate average n Describe flow	umbe regin	r of flow ev ne: <i>Click her</i>	vents in review are re to enter text.	-		ı item.		
	Other information on duration and volume: Click here to enter text. Surface flow is: Choose an item. Characteristics: Click here to enter text.								
		Subsurface flow: Choose an item. Explain findings: Click here to enter text. Dye (or other) test performed: Click here to enter text.							
	clear, chang shelv veget leaf li sedin water other	banks (check, naturages in ing cation itter dependent dependent dependent) (list): nuous	ck all indica ral line imposite character matted down isturbed or eposition ing chick here to OHWM. ⁷	ators that apply): ressed on the bank er of soil on, bent, or absent washed away o enter text. Explain: Click here	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	destruction the present sediment s scour multiple of abrupt cha	n of te ce of sorting bserve inge in	errestrial vegetation wrack line	
	☐ High Tid ☐ oil or ☐ fine s ☐ physi ☐ tidal	le Lind scum shell o cal magauge	e indicated a line along or debris dep arkings/cha	by: shore objects posits (foreshore) racteristics		ean High W survey to a physical m	ater N availa narkin	Mark indicated by: able datum;	
Cha	emical Characterist racterize tributary (e Explain: <i>Click here</i> ntify specific polluta	e.g., w	er text.		-	film; water	r qual	lity; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

(iii)

File Name & Number -3-

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Thid

(IV)	B10	Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Click here to enter text.
		Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Click here to enter text.
		Habitat for:
		Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Click here to enter text.
		Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Click here to enter text.
		Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Click here to enter text.
		Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Click here to enter text.
Cha		eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i)		Sical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: # acres Wetland type. Explain: Click here to enter text. Wetland quality. Explain: Click here to enter text. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Click here to enter text.
	(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Choose an item. Explain: Click here to enter text.
		Surface flow is: <i>Choose an item.</i> Characteristics: <i>Click here to enter text.</i>
		Subsurface flow: Choose an item. Explain findings: Click here to enter text. Dye (or other) test performed: Click here to enter text.
	(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Click here to enter text. Ecological connection. Explain: Click here to enter text. Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: Click here to enter text.
	(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Choose an item. river miles from TNW. Project waters are Choose an item. aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Choose an item. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Choose an item. floodplain.
(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Click here to enter text. ntify specific pollutants, if known: Click here to enter text.
(iii) Bio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Click here to enter text.
		Habitat for:
		Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Click here to enter text.
		Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Click here to enter text.
		Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Click here to enter text.
		Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Click here to enter text.
Cha	aract	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

3.

2.

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: *Choose an item.*Approximately (#) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

File Name & Number -4For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Y/N	#	Y/N	#
Y/N	#	Y/N	#
Y/N	#	Y/N	#
Y/N	#	Y/N	#

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Click here to enter text.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: Click here to enter text.
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Click here to enter text.
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Click here to enter text.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:				
	TNWs: 900 linear feet				
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: # acres.				
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.				
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Click here to enter text.				
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Click here to enter text.				
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):				
	Tributary waters: # linear feet # width (ft).				
	Other non-wetland waters: # acres.				
	Identify type(s) of waters: <i>Click here to enter text.</i>				

File Name & Number -5-

	3.	Non-RPWs" that flow directly or indirectly into 1NWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: # linear feet # width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: # acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Click here to enter text.
	4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☐ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. ☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Click here to enter text. ☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Click here to enter text.
		wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Click here to enter text. Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: # acres.
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. 9 As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	OR	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECL THAT APPLY): 10
		which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
		from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
		which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
		Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Click here to enter text.
		Other factors. Explain: Click here to enter text.
	Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Click here to enter text.
	Prov	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: # linear feet # width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: # acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Click here to enter text.
		Wetlands: # acres.

File Name & Number -6-

 ⁸See Footnote # 3.
 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 To prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

F.	NO	N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
		If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. □ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
		Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Click here to enter text
		Other: (explain, if not covered above): Click here to enter text.
	(i.e.	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors, presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment eck all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): # linear feet # width (ft).
		Lakes/ponds: # acres.
		Other non-wetland waters: # acres. List type of aquatic resource: Click here to enter text
		Wetlands: # acres.
	Prov	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a
		ing is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): # linear feet # width (ft).
		Lakes/ponds: # acres.
		Other non-wetland waters: # acres. List type of aquatic resource: Click here to enter text
		Wetlands: # acres.
SE	CTIO	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
		PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and
11.		nested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Click here to enter text.
	~	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
		Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Click here to enter text.
		Corps navigable waters' study: Click here to enter text.
	~	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: Click here to enter text.
		✓ USGS NHD data.
		USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
		Alaska District's Approved List of Navigable Waters
	~	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Skagway A-2
		USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Click here to enter text.
	~	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: <i>Click here to enter text</i> .
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Click here to enter text.
		FEMA/FIRM maps: Click here to enter text.
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: Click here to enter text. (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	~	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Applicant submitted aerial photographs, GoogleEarth Imagery
		or Cher (Name & Date): Click here to enter text.
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: <i>Click here to enter text</i> .
		Applicable/supporting case law: Click here to enter text.
		Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Click here to enter text.
		Other information (please specify): Click here to enter text.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Click here to enter text.

File Name & Number -7-

	September 11, 2013
Matthew Brody	Date
Regulatory Specialist	

File Name & Number -8-