## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

# SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

	BERGER COLLEY PERSON BURNES	I TOTAL CONTENTS	TELESTON FORTE CALL F	DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE	/ WWW	** ~ ~	***
A	DEPODE COMPLETION DATE FOR	APPROVED	III BISDIC THONAL	DETERMINATION		A PAPELL 7.7	71115
	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR	ALLINOYLD	JUNIODIC HOUNT	DETERMINATION	0101.	ADILLE ATA	MUIL

B.	DIS	STRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Alaska District, POA- 2015 - 230						
C.	PR	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:						
	State: Alaska Borough: Valdez-Cordova City: Glennallen Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 62.2696 ° N, Long. 145.3888 °W Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Gulkana River Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Copper River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):							
	V	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.						
	Γ	Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form						
D.	RE	VIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:						
	V	Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 27, 2015						
	Г	Field Determination. Date(s):						
SE	CTIO	ON II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS						
		SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.						
	ere are	e no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. d]						
	Г	Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.						
	Г	Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:						
B.	CWA	SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.						
The	ere are	"waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]						
		Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:						
	Г	TNWs, including territorial seas						
	Г	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs						
	Г	Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs						
	Г	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs						
	V	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs						
		Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs						
	Г	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs						
	Г	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters						
		Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands						
	1	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.  Wetlands: 0.05 acres.						

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not Applicable

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

POA-2015-230 -1-

## SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

## A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.A.1 and Section III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.B.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

#### 1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

## B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS:

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

## 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	General Area Conditions: Watershed size: Drainage area:						
	Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches						
(ii)	Physical Characteristics:  (a) Relationship with TNW:  Tributary flows directly into TNW.						
		Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW					
		Project waters are river miles from TNW. Project waters are river miles from RPW. Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:					
		Identify flow route to TNW: Tributary stream order, if known:					
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics:					
		Tributary is:  Natural  Artificial (man-made). Explain:  Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:					

POA-2015-230 -2-

		Ave	ry properties with erage width: feet erage depth: feet erage side slopes:		to top of bank	c (estimate	):			
		Primary	tributary substrat	e compo	sition:					
		1	Silts	Г	Sands				Concrete	
		Г	Cobbles		Gravel				Muck	
		Г	Bedrock		Vegetation.	Type/%	over:			
		Г	Other. Explain:							
		Presence Tributar	y condition/stabil e of run/riffle/poo y geometry: y gradient (appro	l comple	xes. Explain:		ng banks].	Explai	in:	
	(c)	Estimate Des	y provides for: average number scribe flow regim formation on dura	e:		w area/ye	ar:			
		Surface flow is: Characteristics:								
			Dye (or other) t							
		ri	Bed and banks OHWM:  clear, natura changes in the shelving vegetation in	natted do turbed or position	wn, bent, or a	bsent [	the present sediment scour multiple of	on of te nce of sorting	litter and debris errestrial vegetation wrack line g ed or predicted flow events a plant community	
			other than the O High Tide Line						VA jurisdiction: Mark indicated by:	
		8,	oil or scum l		-				ble datum;	
			fine shell or	debris de	eposits (foresh	ore) [	physical r	markin	gs;	
		1	physical mar	kings/ch	aracteristics		vegetation	n lines	changes in vegetation types.	
			tidal gauges							
			other (list):							
(iii)	Cha	racterize t Explain:	aracteristics: ributary (e.g., wa fic pollutants, if k		is clear, disco	lored, oily	film; wate	er quali	ity; general watershed characteristics, etc.)	

POA-2015-230 -3-

	(iv)	Bio		racteristics. Channel supports: orridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
		Г	Wetland fr	ringe. Characteristics:
		Г	Habitat for	r:
			☐ Feder	rally Listed species. Explain findings:
				spawn areas. Explain findings:
				environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
			Aqua	tic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	aract	eristics of v	vetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		Properties: Wetlan	Vetland Characteristics: It is a contracteristics: It is a contracteristic contr
			Wetlan	nd type. Explain: nd quality. Explain: ntlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Fl Flow is: E	ow Relationship with Non-TNW:
			Surface flo	
				e flow: Explain findings: ye (or other) test performed:
		(c)		adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: early abutting
			□ Not	directly abutting
			П	Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
				Ecological connection. Explain:
				Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Project we Project wa Flow is fro	(Relationship) to TNW  stlands are river miles from TNW.  sters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.  som:  pproximate location of wetland as within the floodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	etc.). Expl	racteristics: etland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics lain: c pollutants, if known:
	(iii		The state of the s	racteristics. Wetland supports: buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
		Г	Vegetatio	on type/percent cover. Explain:
		Г	Habitat fo	or:
			TI Feder	rally Listed species. Explain findings:
			□ Fish/s	spawn areas. Explain findings:
			TI Other	environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
			□ Aqua	tic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Cha	All	wetland(s) b	all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) being considered in the cumulative analysis: () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

POA-2015-230

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain
  findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

### D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:						
	TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.						
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.						
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.						
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:						
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:						
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tibutary waters: linear feet width (ft).						
	Other non-wetland waters: acres.						
	Identify type(s) of waters:						

POA-2015-230 -5-

3.	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.					
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area:  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).					
	Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:					
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Abutting wetlands Gulkana River					
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:					
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.					
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.					
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.					
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.					
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.					
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  ☐ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or					
	Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or					
	Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).					
	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.					
H	which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.					
П	Interstate isolated waters. Explain:					
П	Other factors. Explain:					
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:					
-	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:					
1 1	Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:					
	Wetlands: acres					

E.

POA-2015-230 -6-

r.	NO	on-jurisdictional waters, including wetlands:
		If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
	Г	Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
		Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
	Г	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
	Г	Other: (explain, if not covered above):
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factor, presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment
	Г	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
	Г	Lakes/ponds: acres.
	Г	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
	Г	Wetlands: acres.
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a ling is required for jurisdiction:
	Г	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
	Г	Lakes/ponds: acres.
	Г	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
	Г	Wetlands: acres.
SEC	CTIC	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
		PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD:
•	IV.	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Maps and Plans
	П	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
		Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	П	Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
	П	Corps navigable waters' study:
	П	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
		USGS NHD data.
		USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	P	All a services and a service of the
		U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USGS US Topo 7.5-minute map for Gulkana B-3 SW, AK
	I	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Map Units: 424, 428, 449, 452
	[V]	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: NWI Data Survey
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
		FEMA/FIRM maps:
	П	100-year Floodplain Elevation is:
	П	Photographs:  Aerial (Name & Date):
	П	or Other (Name & Date):
	F	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: POA-2001-1119
	П	Applicable/supporting case law:
	П	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	Fi.	

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** Previous USACE file POA-2001-1119 provides images and maps show area primarily gravel with river rock around the identified wetland abutting Gulkana River.

POA-2015-230 -7-

Michael R. Gala Regulatory Specialist

May 5, 2015 Date