

**DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM<sup>1</sup>**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):** June 20, 2017

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:** Alaska District, Crocker Creek, POA-2017-241

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State: Alaska                      Borough: Matanuska-Susitna Borough                      City: Wasilla  
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 61.5106 ° N., Long. 149.6573 °W.  
Universal Transverse Mercator: 6V (WSG84)  
Name of nearest waterbody: Crocker Creek  
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 1902040108

- Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.  
 Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: June 20, 2017  
 Field Determination. Date(s): *Click here to enter a date.*

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

**SECTION III: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):**

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Applicant submitted a Carmelcrest Subdivision plot layout produced via Geocortex Essentials. The applicant also included a Matanuska-Susitna tax map identifying subject parcels for review
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: *Click here to enter text.*
- USGS NHD data.
- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: *Click here to enter text.*
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Soil Survey Area: Matanuska-Susitna Valley Area, Alaska. Version 13, Sep 27, 2015 (Sheets 1-9). Soil Map Symbol 122 and 125 listed as deception silt loam; rolling and deception silt loam; undulating. Neither are hydric soils. Slope 0-15%.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). National Wetland Survey Data accessed on 6/16/2017 which determined the review area to be within Uplands.
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): Accessed Cook Inlet Wetlands inventory maps on 6/16/2017 which determined the review area to be within Uplands.
- FEMA/FIRM maps: *Click here to enter text.*
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: *Click here to enter text.* (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs:  Aerial (Name & Date): *Click here to enter text.*
- or  Other (Name & Date): 3x Google map ground images
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: *Click here to enter text.*
- Applicable/supporting case law: *Click here to enter text.*
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: *Click here to enter text.*

<sup>1</sup> This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.

Other information (please specify): [Click here to enter text.](#)

**B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND:** Only one (1) of three (3) indicators were met. Based on the information reviewed it is determined that there are no known wetlands within the project area.



---

Jesse DeWitt  
Regulatory Specialist  
South Section

---

6/22/2017